



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/722,231

11/25/2003

Bradley R. Hammell

F-759

6693

919 7590 03/31/2008

PITNEY BOWES INC.  
35 WATERVIEW DRIVE  
P.O. BOX 3000  
MSC 26-22  
SHELTON, CT 06484-8000

EXAMINER

OBEID, FAHD A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3627

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/31/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/722,231	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HAMMELL, BRADLEY R.	
	<b>Examiner</b> FAHD A. OBEID	<b>Art Unit</b> 3627	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 February 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Status of the Application***

1. This is in reply to application filed on 02/04/2008.
2. Claims 1, 4, 6-7, and 13 has been amended.
3. Claims 16-20 have been added.
4. Claims 1-20 are currently pending and have been examined.

The examiner acknowledges applicants amendments filed on 02/04/2008, and therefore the previous office action's rejections with respect to the double patenting, 101 and 112 rejections have been withdrawn.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

**2. Claims 1-3 and 5-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Williams (US 2002/0032573).**

3. Regarding Claims 1, 7, and 13: Williams discloses a method for a user to find pinpoint status of a shipment being transported by a carrier, comprising the steps of:

- Clicking on a shipment pinpoint symbol on a computer screen (page 24 para 381-382).
- Connecting automatically to an Internet or private network, if a connection is not already established (fig 65, page 6 para 103, page 6 para 110, and claim 17).
- Sending automatically a shipping pinpoint inquiry to the carrier via the Internet or private network (page 3 para 25, page 5 para 90-92, page 5 para 91, page 6 para 110, and page 31 para 562-563).
- Requesting a pinpoint location of the shipment in response to the shipping status inquiry (fig 60 and fig 63).
- Receiving the requested pinpoint location of the shipment to the computer screen, wherein the pinpoint location identifies a position between checkpoints at each of which shipment presence is monitored regardless of user inquiries (fig 60 and fig 63).

4. Regarding Claims 2 and 14: Williams discloses a method of claim 1, wherein the shipment pinpoint symbol is an icon of a file, in a markup language, including a tracking code of the shipment (page 117).

5. Regarding Claims 3 and 15: Williams discloses a method of claim 1, wherein the shipment pinpoint symbol is provided to the computer screen in conjunction with a shipment checkpoint symbol, and wherein the shipment checkpoint symbol is for obtaining information as to the presence of at least one of the checkpoints (figs 62-63).

6. Regarding Claims 5 and 16: Williams discloses a method of claim 1, wherein the position is separate from all of the checkpoints (fig 62 & 63).

7. Regarding Claim 6: Williams discloses a method for providing a user the status of a shipment being transported by a carrier, comprising the steps of:

- Processing a user transaction at a web site (page 101, page 110).
- Receiving a type of shipment selection (fig 41, page 101, page 110, and page 120).
- Creating a file that includes markup language and that includes at least one link to shipment tracking information (page 4 para 73, page 5 para 76, page 23 para 379, page 29 para 534, and pages 117-118).
- Providing the file to the user for storage locally at a user device (page 24 para 381-382, page 28 paras 495, 498, & para 501, and pages 117-118).
- Receiving a check status request from the file after the file is activated (page 28 paras 495, 498, & para 501 and page 29 para 534).
- Providing the status to the user (fig 60-62).

8. Regarding Claim 8: Williams discloses a method of claim 6, further comprising the steps of:

- Replacing or modifying the file to create an improved file (figs 59-60, page 8 para 143, page 30 paras 539-541, and page 30 para 544).
- Activating the improved file to check an additional status of an additional shipment being transported by an additional carrier (fig 63).

9. Regarding Claim 9: Williams discloses a method of claim 8, wherein the step of replacing or modifying the file is implemented at least partly by a program stored in the user device (page 30 paras 539-541, and page 30 para 544).

10. Regarding Claim 10: Williams discloses a method of claim 8, wherein the step of replacing or modifying the file is implemented at least partly by a third party (page 30 paras 539-541, and page 30 para 544).

11. Regarding Claim 11: Williams discloses a method of claim 8, wherein the step of replacing or modifying the file is implemented at least partly by the carrier or by the additional carrier (page 30 paras 539-541, and page 30 para 544).

12. Regarding Claim 12: Williams discloses a method of claim 6, wherein the shipment tracking information is for a plurality of shipments being transported by a plurality of carriers (fig 63).

13. Regarding Claim 17: Williams discloses a method of claim 9, further comprising:  
The program stored in the user device automatically polls additional check status requests after the status indication changes (figs 60-63 and paras 495, 498, & 501).

14. Regarding Claim 18: Williams discloses a method of claim 17, wherein:  
The status indication change indicates the shipment is out for delivery (paras 187, 360, and 565).

15. Regarding Claim 19: Williams discloses a method of claim 9, further comprising:  
The program stored in the user device displays a location message when a delivery vehicle is a first distance from a delivery location associated with shipment (the server will update the package status in the server database with the tracking response and will return the detailed package information to the web client of the requesting user from the system database; (see at least para 565).

16. Regarding Claim 20: Williams discloses a method of claim 9, further comprising:  
The program stored in the user device is loaded as a background process after the status indication changes (notifying a recipient that a package has been sent and

providing to the recipient tracking number and link to track the package; (fig 27, para 258, and 495-497).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

**18. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams (US 2002/0032573) in view of Bednarek (US 6,965,868).**

19. Regarding Claims 4: Williams does not explicitly disclose compensating clients for delayed services.

However, Bednarek does disclose a method of claim 1, wherein if the step of providing the pinpoint shipping status information to the computer screen is performed later than a certain time after the step of sending automatically the shipping pinpoint inquiry, due to a delay, then a user of the computer screen is compensated for the delay (col 18 lines 43-53 and col 42 lines 6-23).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include reimbursement for delayed services in William's online shipping management enabled, for the advantage of customer satisfaction.



### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In particular the applicant argues that: a) a client side symbol b) tracking information c) disputing the official notice

2. .In response to a) examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant is reminded that claims must be given their broadest reasonable interpretation. William teaches generating an HTML hyperlink (symbol) the user can e-mail the HTML hyperlink text to someone by clicking on the link (see para 381 & 382); Also, the system generates an HTML hyperlink by executing a JAVA script routine, in order to create the link, the system places the appropriate HTML tags and text in a sequence that can be rendered by a web browser (paras 379 & 380); Moreover, a customer can click on the status icon to inquire about shipping history information (figs 12, 60); Furthermore, customers can create links where the URL will include a small iShip icon (see fig 56).

In response to b) examiner respectfully disagrees. William teaches tracking information such as tracking results for multiple packages (shown in fig 68); Also, tracking information is stored in the iShip database where the most recent tracking information for the package will be displayed (see page 136).

In response to c) applicant's arguments with respect to claim 4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FAHD A. OBEID whose telephone number is (571)270-3324. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Fahd A Obeid/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3627  
03/12/2008

/F. Ryan Zeender/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art  
Unit 3627